

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,676		09/02/2003	Mark E. Gurney	6142.N DVI	3487
25533	7590	06/26/2006		EXAMINER	
PHARMA		PJOHN		TURNER, S	HARON L
7000 Portage Road KZO-300-104				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KALAMAZOO, MI 49001				1649	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commons	10/653,676	GURNEY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sharon L. Turner	1649					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. be period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state teply received by the Office later than three months after the may and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	September 2003						
		nis action is non-final.						
'==	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dianasiti		, ,						
	on of Claims							
	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
-,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	•							
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s)  e of References Cited (PTO-892)  e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal ( 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/653,676

Art Unit: 1649

1. Claims 1-41 are pending.

## Election/Restriction

Page 2

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-23, 34-38 in part drawn to nucleic acids, classified for example in class 536, subclass 23.1.
- II. Claims 24-32 in part drawn to a peptide, classified for example in class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 33 in part drawn to antibodies, classified for example in class 530, subclass 387.1
- IV. Claims 39-41 in part drawn to a method of detection, classified for example in class 435, subclass 91.2.
- 3. Furthermore, in addition to the election of one of the above VIII groups, further restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 as set forth below to delineate the molecular embodiments to which the claims will be restricted in accordance with the elected group:
- A) A single designated nucleic acid composition selected from nucleic acids encoding SEQ ID NO's: 1-10.
  - B) A single polypeptide selected from SEQ ID NO's: 1-10.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 5. Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relationship of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products, restriction is deemed to be proper because the products indicated as A-B constitute

Application/Control Number: 10/653,676

Art Unit: 1649

patentably distinct inventions for the following reasons. Each of the polynucleotides and polypeptides has a unique structural feature which requires a unique search of the prior art. The inventions indicated as A-B differ in structure and function as they are composed of divergent nucleic and amino acids and are differentially able to hybridize. bind or mediate biological functions. A reference to one element would not constitute a reference to another. In addition, searching all of the molecules in a single patent application would provide an undue search burden on the examiner and the USPTO's resources because the indicated searches are not co-extensive.

Page 3

- 6. Inventions I-III are related as products. The products are distinct each from the other as the products are comprised of divergent structure, effects and function, for example nucleic acids, peptides and antibodies.
- 7. Inventions within Group IV are related as processes. The processes are distinct each from the other as the processes differ in reagents, steps, functions and effects.
- 8. Inventions I-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions constitute different statutory classes of molecules and different methods.
- 9. Inventions I and IV, are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Art Unit: 1649

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the nucleic acids and peptides can be practiced with alternative nucleic acids or peptides and the products as claimed can be used alternatively in a method of treatment, a method of making antibodies, a method of screening compounds, and a method for detecting compositions.

- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any Group is not required for any other Group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). In order to be fully responsive, Applicant is required to elect a single group from designated groups I-IV and a single molecular embodiment for each of designated groups A and B to which the claims will be restricted, even though the requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that neither I-IV nor A-B are species election requirements; rather each of I-IV and A-B are restriction requirements. The subject matter for examination will be restricted to the extent of the subject matter of the

Art Unit: 1649

elected groups. It is noted that while one of A-B may not be applicable to one of I-IV, applicant must elect one of each in order to be fully compliant.

14. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution

Art Unit: 1649

either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 16. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for Group 1600 is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-0894. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached at (571) 272-0867.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,676

Art Unit: 1649

SHARON TURNER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Sharon L. Turner, Ph.D. June 19, 2006

6-19-00

Page 7